20

25

PEGEIVED GENTRAL FAX GENTER SEP 0 8 2008

## REMARKS

Claims 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11 and 12 Status of the claims. remain in the application. Claim 10 had been objected to only as depending from a rejected claim, and Examiner indicated it would be allowable if rewritten independently. Therefore, claim 1 has been rewritten to incorporate all substantive requirements of claim 10, including all claims from which claim 10 was 10 dependent.

Minor correction replaces the phrase "or each" with the term -respective--, so as to provide greater clarity.

Claims 6 is made dependent from rewritten claim 1. claims 6, 7, 8, 9 as well as 11 and 12 all relate ultimately back to claim 1. A minor amendment of spelling is made in claim 9 for sake of clarity. Claim 10 is cancelled because its limitations are now set forth in claim 1. Therefore, amended claim 1 replaces claim 10 in the form it would be allowable if rewritten independently.

The undersigned thanks Examiner Pickett for telephone interview on August 23, 2006, to discuss claim 1, but agreement was not reached at that time. Applicant may instead proceed without prejudice to the previous claim 1 by way of a continuation-in-part (CIP) or continuation.

In view of the present rewriting of claim 1 to incorporate the requirements of allowable claim 10 (if rewritten independently), it is submitted accordingly that the amendments herewith place the application fully in condition for allowance, raise no new issues, add no new claims, and require no new Thus the application is now prima facie in condition 30 for Notice of Allowance, which is respectfully requested.

Elliott, Howard S.N. 10/695,619- Filed October 28, 2003 Atty Docket No. 85328-88008 910255.04

While the undersigned believes that the foregoing resolves all remaining issues, if Examiner believes there is any remaining issue which could be readily resolved or other action could be taken to advance this application, such as Examiner's amendment, it is requested that Examiner please telephone the undersigned. If necessary to effect a timely response, this paper should be considered as a petition for extension of time of length sufficient to be considered timely.

Any fees required, to the extent not covered by any payment submitted herewith, or for extension of time if required, are authorized to be charged to Deposit Account No. 07-1985.

Respectfully submitted,

15

20

25

10

Date: Sept. 2006

Peter S. Gilster, Reg. 25,337

Greensfelder, Hemker & Gale, P.C. Intellectual Property Group 10 South Broadway, Suite 2000 St. Louis, Missouri 63102 direct (314) 345-4741 general (314) 241-9090 facsimile (314) 345-4704

Attorneys for Applicant Customer Number: 22807

Attachment(s): Transmittal with Certification of Fax Transmittal

30